

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/607,054	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PRABU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SHEW-FEN LIN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2166	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** RCE

(1) SHEW-FEN LIN.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Niemann (Reg. No. 61,817).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 June 2010

**Time:** 2:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner / Applicant's representative discussed proposed amendment to clarify the feature of invention and to expedite the prosecution of examination*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SHEW-FEN LIN/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2166

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)